



## MEETING SUMMARY

### Ogden Valley General Plan Citizen Advisory Committee

Meeting 3: March 18, 2015

#### Participants

##### Advisory Committee Members

Paul Judd

Debbi Kearn

Andrea Milner representing Jeff Werbelow

John Loomis

Jon Lewis

Jan Fullmer

Gary Fullmer

Mayor Jim Truett

Jeff Burton

Ruth Bailey

##### Project Team

Charlie Ewert, Weber County

Sean Wilkinson, Weber County

Buck Swaney, Logan Simpson Design

Krissy Nielsen, Logan Simpson Design

#### 1. General Plan Audit Survey Report

As a part of a technical review of the 1998 General Plan and 2005 Recreation Element, the Committee was asked to complete an audit survey of both documents. During the drafting of the updated plan, the project team will refer to the survey results for guidance before coming back to the Committee with various plan elements to review. Committee members are welcome to update their survey responses at any time.

Although we did not go through the survey results comprehensively, a good portion of the meeting was spent discussing a few specific questions and ideas. Specific discussion points included:

- The slope ordinance should be clarified to clearly state what it means.
- Public noticing when something is happening to an adjacent property should be improved.
- Prevailing feedback has been to use free market tools to guide development instead of down zoning or up zoning.
- Carefully look at what currently General Plan. Just because something has been historically done, doesn't mean it is appropriate now. This lead into a discussion on the balance of private property rights and the public good; what is that balance and what should it be for a community plan?
- Emergency preparedness and dark sky preservation should be in the updated plan.

#### 2. Scenario Discussion

The project team gathered feedback on the Scenario Workshop from last month. A major theme reported from the Committee was that people did not know about the workshop and were not aware of the planning process at all. Mobile workshops were discussed as a way to reach those who might not hear about or be able to come to organized workshops.

It was also discussed that the scenario idea in general did not resonate well with those at the workshops. As a way to move forward, the Project Team will move away from scenarios and instead focus on potential big ideas. A "Big Ideas" survey draft was given to the Committee. They were asked to provide feedback on suggested wording changes. The survey has now been announced to the public and will close on April 15 in order to provide adequate time to prepare the results to display at the Choices Workshop.



### **3. “Euclidian Planning Zoning” vs. “Adaptive or Performance Planning/Zoning”**

We did not reach this agenda item.

#### **Next Steps and Action Items**

1. A question to consider before the next meeting, “If we have 20,000 units in the Valley, where should people gather, shop, and wander? Should an established place be considered in the plan, or will the free-market take care of it?”
2. The Choices Workshop will be held on April 30<sup>th</sup>. More information to follow.